This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATIÓN NO.
10/036,992	12/29/2001	Sherif Safwat	2194DIV1	6036.
, 75	90 07/01/2003			
A Professional Corporation			EXAMINER	
Post Office Box Sunnyvale, CA			ROWAN,	KURT C
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 10/036,992 Applicant(s)

SAFWAT

Office Action Summary

Examiner

KURT ROWAN

Art Unit 3643



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
mailing	date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the p	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Ind will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
- Failure - Any re	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
earned Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 30, 2	2003 .			
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is re Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>124-138</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗀	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 124, 126-128, and 130-134	is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 125, 129, and 135-138	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)		is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t				
12)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)□	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.			
14) 📙	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm	• •	41			
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:			
aı □ ım	omation discussing statement(8) (F10-1445) raper NO(8).	o, oo.			

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 30, 2003 has been entered.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/036,992

Art Unit: 3643

Claims 124, 126, 127, 128, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) 4. as being unpatentable over Haller for substantially the same reasons stated in the first Office Action.

The patent to Haller shows a net which is capable of being drawn thru the water with a mesh design having a first right hand cell bar 60 and a second left handed cell bar 59 which would inherently provide lift to the net to increase the net volume and improve the shape of the net. Haller disclose the mesh design for gill nets, but it would have been obvious to employ the net design for other types of nets such as a trawl net since the function is the same. Haller contemplates a plurality of interconnected mesh cells each having at least two cell bars that are constructed and arranged so that the two cell bars would intersect a velocity vector at an acute angle. Haller shows the two cell bars being formed with a plurality of cambered sections 57, 58 and 59, 60 forming two product strands that are oriented and configured so that movement of cell bars thru the water relative to the velocity vector creates a pressure differential across the cambered sections to establish a lift vector on the cell bar in a predetermined direction. Cambered section 56 shows a corkscrew-shaped member in Fig. 2. In reference to claims 131, 132, Haller does not disclose that the lift vector for the cell bars is directed outward from the trawl net, but it would have been obvious to direct the lift vectors outward from the net to expand the net.

Page 4 Application/Control Number: 10/036,992

Art Unit: 3643

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 125, 129, 135, 136, 137, 138 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected 5. base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed May 30, 2003 is insufficient to overcome the 6. rejection of claims 124, 126-128, 130-134 based upon Haller as set forth in the last Office action because: the showing is commensurate in scope with the claims.

It refer(s) only to the system described in the above referenced application and not to the individual claims of the application. Thus, there is no showing that the objective evidence of nonobviousness is commensurate in scope with the claims. See MPEP § 716.

In view of the foregoing, when all of the evidence is considered, the totality of the rebuttal evidence of nonobviousness fails to outweigh the evidence of obviousness.

7.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KURT ROWAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-2321.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/036,992

Art Unit: 3643

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195 or (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

KURT ROWAN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3643

June 29, 2003